



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	D. FILING DATE		FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/613,261	10/613,261 07/03/2003		Sergey V.S. Pakhomov	51185-294721	4873
25764	7590	06/23/2005		EXAM	INER
FAEGRE & PATENT DO		- · <del></del> -	RIMELL, S.	AMUEL G	
2200 WELLS		_	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402				2165	

DATE MAILED: 06/23/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/613,261	PAKHOMOV, SERGEY V.S.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Sam Rimell	2165			
The MAILING DATE of this communic	cation appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FO THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this commu- - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum stating - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or extended period for reply wany reply received by the Office later than three months after earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION.  of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a runication.  of days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON will, by statute, cause the application to become AE	reply be timely filed  ty (30) days will be considered timely.  NTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed	d on				
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL. 2	b)☐ This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the ments is					
closed in accordance with the practic	e under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are pending in the a	polication				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/ar	•				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9 and 11</u> is/are rejected.					
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10</u> is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restrict	tion and/or election requirement.				
Application Papers					
<u> </u>					
9) The specification is objected to by the		hadha Faraniana			
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are:					
Applicant may not request that any object	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including					
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner. Note the attached	d Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim f a) All b) Some * c) None of:		§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).			
	documents have been received.				
<u> </u>	documents have been received in A	•			
	of the priority documents have been	received in this National Stage			
application from the Internatior * See the attached detailed Office action		received .			
	Tion a nation the definied copies not	Skul			
		SAM RIMELL			
Attachment(s)	_	PRIMARY EXAMINER			
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)			
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or F Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>		s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 			
.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20050617			

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

Claims 1-9 and 11-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Taira (U.S. Pre-Grant Publication US 2003/0105638.

<u>Claim 1:</u> Paragraph 0084 outlines the step of gathering a large number of medical reports for the purpose of establishing a set of training data (paragraph 0086, lines 1-4). The medical reports constitute the corpus of text and includes both abbreviations and expansions of the abbreviation. An example is given in paragraph 0088, where the abbreviation is "5cm" and the expansion of the abbreviation is the word "large" in paragraph 0090.

The expansion is a text processing step identified in paragraphs 0089-0092, where one logical relation is created having the expansion word "large" as one of the three optional possible logical relations for the correct meaning of "5cm".

Context information is created as a text processing step by generating a context vector "b" (see FIG. 10A and paragraph 0098), which is used as part of a larger probability formula to determine the probability that the logical relation is true in a specific context.

The result is a set of mathematical probabilities which are the training data that the knowledge base uses to correctly determine whether the expansion is related to the phrase "5cm".

Art Unit: 2165

<u>Claim 2:</u> The feature vector "b" (FIG. 10A and paragraph 0098) is readable as local context information because it based on information from the immediately surrounding sentence of a particular word (paragraph 0097, lines 5-6).

<u>Claim 3:</u> The local context information is the context vector b and is based upon the context within a given sentence (paragraph 0097, lines 5-6). The training data, which is the resulting probability calculation using the context vector b, would also be dependent upon that sentence.

<u>Claim 4:</u> Sentences inherently include words, so the sentence level information used to establish the context vector "b" word inherently end up being based on specific words.

Claim 5: The context information is the context vector "b" (FIG. 10A and paragraph 0098, lines 3-6). The context vector is defined in terms of feature functions "f(a, b)", with these feature functions being readable as discourse context information. Both the context vector "b" and feature functions are stored in order to permit the probability calculation shown in paragraph 0096.

Claim 6: The "discourse context information" are the feature functions "f(a,b)". The "discourse training data" is the resulting probability calculation using these function in paragraph 0096. All of these functions are calculations are based on the characteristics of sample text provided to generate the training data (paragraph 0084).

Claim 7: The local context information is the context vector "b", while the discourse context information are the feature functions "f(a,b)". The underlying numerical values in the respective vector and functions are the respective "local context data" and "discourse data", lacking any further clarity in the claim as to exactly what these entities are.

<u>Claim 8:</u> The vector "b" described in paragraph 0096 through line 6 of paragraph 0098 is readable as a feature vector and defines the context information.

Claim 9: The probability calculation shown in paragraph 0096 is a maximum entropy model (as described in FIG. 10b) and utilizes feature vectors "b". It determines the probability that an expanded version of abbreviated text, such as the word "Large" can be accurately related to an abbreviated phrase, such as "5 cm" (see paragraphs 0088 through 0092).

Claim 11: The text is processed using a statistical model (probability model of paragraph 0096 and FIG. 10b which illustrates the same model).

Claim 12: Paragraph 0088 gives an example of an abbreviation in the training text, such as the phrase "5cm". Paragraph 0090 gives an example of an expansion of the abbreviation, such as the word "Large". The training text (paragraph 0084) is processed to produce and identify the expansion of the abbreviation.

Claim 13: Paragraph 0084 outlines the collection of a corpus of documents used to create a knowledge base, which correlates to a database. The database includes both abbreviation data (phrases such as "5cm") and expanded versions which are logically related to the abbreviations (words such as "Large"—see paragraphs 0098 and 0090). As described in paragraphs 0089-0092, logical relations are generated (three are shown in paragraphs 0090-0092) that identify at least one expansion (the word "Large" in paragraph 0090 is an expansion). For each of the three logical relations, a feature vector "b" is generated which includes context data (paragraph 0098, lines 3-5). The vectors are generated and stored so as to permit the calculation of probability that one of the three logical relations most closely correlates to the abbreviation when used in a specific context.

Claim 14: See remarks for claims 2 and 5.

Claim 15: See remarks for claim 11.

Claim 10 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

## Remarks

Applicant's arguments and amendments have been considered.

Applicant first argues that the Taira reference does not teach the general concept of abbreviation normalization. Examiner has fully considered this assertion but does not agree. Paragraphs 0088 through 0092 teach the concept of developing logical expansions for abbreviations in medical text. In this particular example, logical relations are developed for sizes that may be used in the text, such as the size "5cm". One such logical relation is the word "large" as an expansion of the specified size of "5 cm". Examiner maintains that the step of developing these logical relations meets the claimed requirements for processing the corpus of text (i.e. the source text) to identify expansions ("large" being an expansion of the abbreviation "5cm").

Applicant also argues that claim 1 has been amended to define an automated processing of text. However, claim 1 has only been amended to define the "processing" feature and does not require that nay of the steps be performed by an automated system. Accordingly, even the manual process described in Taira at paragraphs 0088 through 0092 meets the currently defined claim limitations.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/613,261 Page 6

Art Unit: 2165

date of this final action.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to Sam Rimell at telephone number (571) 272-4084.

Sam Rimell Primary Examiner Art Unit 2165